



RECEIVED DEC 1 0 2003

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

PCT

19 OCT 2004

VERMETTE & CO. Box 40, Granville Square Suite 230-200 Granville Street

Vancouver, B.C. V6C 1S4

NOTIFICATION OF RECEIPT OF DEMAND BY COMPETENT INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rules 59.3(e) and 61.1(b), first sentence

CANADA and Administrative Instructions, Section 601(a)) Date of mailing (day/month/year) 04-12-2003 Applicant's or agent's file reference IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION 2313-101 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/CA 03/00593 23/04/2003 23/04/2002 Applicant SONIC ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS INC. et al.

1.	The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority considers the following date as the
	date of receipt of the demand for international preliminary examination of the international application:

	21/11/2003
This date	of receipt is:
X	the actual date of receipt of the demand by this Authority (Rule 61.1(b)).

the date on which this Authority has, in response to the invitation to correct defects in the demand

the actual date of receipt of the demand on behalf of this Authority (Rule 59.3(e)).

(Form PCT/IPEA/404), received the required corrections. ATTENTION: That date of receipt is AFTER the expiration of 19 months from the priority date. Consequently, the election(s) made in the demand does (do) not have the effect of postponing the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)). Therefore, the acts for entry into the national phase must be performed within 20 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 22). For details, see

(If applicable) This notification confirms the information given by telephone, facsimile transmission or in person

Only where paragraph 3 applies, a copy of this notification has been sent to the International Bureau.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/

2.

3.

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465

the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.

DUPONT-HUEPER M

Authorized officer

Tel. (+49-89) 2399-7952







RECEIVED DEC 2 2 2003

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

PCT

VERMETTE & CO. Box 40, Granville Square Suite 230-200 Granville Street

WRITTEN OPINION

Vancouver, B.C. V6C 1S4 CANADA	(PCT Rule 66)								
		Date of mailing (day month year)	17/12/20	03					
Applicant's or agent's file reference 2313-101	REPLY DUE within 2 / 00 months/days from the above date of mailing								
International application No.	International filing date	e (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)			-				
PCT/ CA 03/ 00593		23/04/2002							
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	both national classification	on and IPC							
	B09C1/02								
Applicant									
SONIC ENVIRONMENTAL SO	LUTIONS INC. et	al.							
1. This written opinion is the first drawn	up by this International P	reliminary Examining	Authority.						
2. This opinion contains indications relatir	ng to the following items:								
I \overline{X} Basis of the opinion									
II Priority									
III Non-establishment of opin	III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability								
IV Lack of unity of invention					•				
V X Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									
VI Certain documents cited	VI Certain documents cited								
VII Certain defects in the inter	national application								
VIII Certain observations on th	ie international application	n							
 The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d). How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. 									
Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.									
If no reply is filed, the international pr	reliminary examination re	port will be established	on the basis of this	s opinion.					
The final date by which the internation: examination report must be established		:23/08	/2004	- NSCHES	PATER				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/		Authorized officer		S. S					
Furopean Patent Office		Examiner			الله الله				

D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465

Formalities officer (incl. extension of time limits) Tel. (+49-89) 2399 2828

- I. Basis of the opinion
- 1. The basis of this written opinion is the application as originally filed.
- V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
- 1. In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claims references).
- 2. If amendments are filed, the applicant should comply with the requirements of Rule 66.8 PCT and indicate the basis of the amendments in the documents of the application as originally filed (Article 34 (2) (b) PCT) otherwise these amendments may not be taken into consideration for the establishment of the international preliminary examination report. The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that if the application contains an unnecessary plurality of independent claims, no examination of any of the claims will be carried out.
- NB: Should the applicant decide to request detailed substantive examination, then an international preliminary examination report will normally be established directly. Exceptionally the examiner may draw up a second written opinion, should this be explicitly requested.

Vermette & Co.

Barristers & Solicitors
Patent & Trademark Agents

Box 40, Granville Square Suite 230, 200 Granville Street Vancouver, British Columbia CANADA V6C 1S4 Telephone:
Facsimile:
E-mail:
Website:

(604) 331 0381 (604) 331 0382 ip@vermetteco.com www.vermetteco.com

November 21, 2003

VIA FAX NO. 011-49-89-2399-4465 CONFIRMATION BY COURIER

European Patent Office International Preliminary Examination Authority D-80298 Munich Germany

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re:

PCT Application No. PCT/CA03/00593

Int'l Filing Date:

April 23, 2003

Title:

SONICATION TREATMENT OF POLYCHLORINATED

BIPHENYL CONTAMINATED MEDIA

Applicant:

Sonic Environmental Solutions Inc.

inventors:

Lorrie Hunt, Jim McKinley and Rod McElroy

Priority:

US Provisional Patent Appl No. 60/374,512 filed April 23, 2002

Our File:

2313-101

Please find enclosed the following documents:

- Demand for International Preliminary Examination under Chapter II,
 Article 31 of the Patent Cooperation Treaty;
- 2. Fee Calculation Sheet; and
- 3. Bank draft in the amount of \$1689.00EURO in payment of the preliminary examination fee and handling fee.

We trust you will find the above to be in order.

Yours truly,

VERMETTE & CO.

Clifford W. Vermette Agent for the Applicant

CWV/kjg encl.